

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/822,000

Applicant(s)

SATO, GENTA

Examiner

Carramah J. Quiett

Art Unit

2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(3) Charu Kurani, Applicant's Representative.

(2) Tuan Ho, Primary Examiner.

(4) Michael Cammarata, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 08 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gindele et al. (US 7,158,174).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

primary Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner has agreed to concede the Gindele reference at this time if the Applicant agrees to change the "obtaining a specified group..." limitation (on lines 14-15 of the claims) is to "obtaining a specific group of color information from among the grouped color information for use in calculating the white balance correction values based on said counted number".